Garbage Collection Enables Perenniality

V. E. McHale

13 Aug. 2021

Abstract

The choice of whether to include garbage collection in a language is also a choice of type system. Garbage collected languages correspond to classical, intuitionist logic and no language without garbage collection can properly support classical logic; perenniality divides logics where garbage collection divides languages.

Functional programming corresponds to intuitionistic logic via Curry-Howard; linear logic corresponds to functional programming without a garbage collector (Lafont 1988). The intuitionistic typing in garbage-collected languages features the perenniality characteristic of mathematical logic (Girard 2011, 331).

This perenniality is absolutely essential for a logic to have any flavor of mathematics, where proofs build up to theorems which are eternal truths; proofs make free use of previous theorems and theorems stand on their own: there is no obligation to consume results later.

Programming Languages

We can see the flavor of 'perenniality" concretely in programming languages. While linear logic departs substantially from mathematical logics, imperative programming and functional programming are mutually familiar.

Linear Types

As a concrete example of how linear types allow us to work without a garbage collector, we can use ATS:

```
...
{
    val (pf | p) = bytearray_zero(40)
    val () = bytearray_set(pf | p, 6, 1)
```

```
val () = bytearray_free(pf | p)
}
```

Had we tried to modify array contents after the byte array was freed, the program would be ill-typed and would fail to compile.

```
{
    val (pf | p) = bytearray_zero(40)
    val () = bytearray_free(pf | p)
    val () = bytearray_set(pf | p, 6, 1)
}
...
```

would be rejected by the compiler as bytearray_set(pf | ...) cannot be assigned a type because the type judgment for pf has already been consumed assigning a type to bytearray_free(...) (freeing a block of memory consumes the typing judgment).

Linearity also ensures that the program is memory-clean:

```
...
{
    val (pf | p) = bytearray_zero(40)
    val () = bytearray_set(pf | p, 6, 1)
}
...
```

would be ill-typed because **pf** is not consumed. The type of a heap-allocated value *cannot* be perennial: it tracks the lifetime of the value over the course of program execution.

Perennial Type Systems

In Haskell one can write:

```
repeat :: a -> [a]
repeat x = let xs = x : xs in xs
take :: Int -> [a] -> [a]
take 0 _ = []
take n (x:xs) = x : take (n-1) xs
finiteList :: [Int]
finiteList = take 10 $ repeat 1
```

(take is not linear; take 0 _ = [] discards one of its arguments)

Practically, this program works because of garbage collection; the typing is intuitionistic but calling finiteList will not leak memory despite abandoning values.

Confused Type Systems

C has a **confused** type system: typing behaves like a perennially typed language, but does not fulfill its part of the promise. A value of type **float** * may be a pointer to an array of **floats** or it may be a pointer to garbage.

```
...
float* p = malloc(40);
free(p);
*p = 4.0;
...
```

will compile without any error despite being incoherent.

This is perhaps to be expected when typing imperative languages without Curry-Howard (Martini 2015).

Conclusion

The Curry-Howard view divides languages by their approach to resource management and condemns those languages which use perennial type systems alongside manual memory management.

ATS Headers

```
fn bytearray_zero {n:int} (int(n)) : [1:addr] (bytes_v(1, n) | ptr(1))
fn bytearray_set
    {1:addr}
    {n:int}
    { m : int | m <= n }
    (!bytes_v(1, n) | ptr(1), int(m), byte)
        : void
fn bytearray_free {1:addr}{n:int} (bytes_v(1, n) | ptr(1)) : void</pre>
```

In ATS, ! means that the linear argument is not consumed.

References

- Girard, Jean-Yves. 2011. The Blind Spot: Lectures on Logic. European Mathematical Society.
- Lafont, Y. 1988. "The Linear Abstract Machine." *Theoretical Computer Science* 59 (1): 157–80. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3975(88)90100-4.
- Martini, Simone. 2015. "Several Types of Types in Programming Languages." In 3rd International Conference on History and Philosophy of Computing (HaPoC), AICT-487:216–27. History and Philosophy of Computing. Pisa, Italy: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-47286-7_15.